UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,811	02/04/2002	Thomas E. Ward III	IS/074 Cont. 4	6065
75563 ROPES & GRA	7590 09/03/200 XY LLP	8		IINER
	KETING 39/361		PENG, FRED H	
NEW YORK, N	EOF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/066,811	WARD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRED PENG	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T     Since this application is in condition for allocations of the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	·	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction an application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Exame	drawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coruntal and the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/066,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/13/2008 has been entered.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-19, 39-57 and 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 2003/0005445) in view of Hendricks et al (US 2004/0111742).

Regarding Claims 1, 39 and 57, Schein discloses a EPG system (FIG.1, FIG.16A) with corresponding method and a computer readable medium (FIG.1, 18) having stored thereon a set of instructions for displaying an advertisement (FIG.16A, 524, 526, 528), when executed by a microprocessor (FIG.1, 16), cause the microprocessor to perform the step of:

storing TV schedule information in a first database (Para 6 lines 9-14; Para 29);

Art Unit: 2623

receiving advertisement information and stored in second database (Para 7 lines 1-5; Para 50).

displaying, in a schedule guide region of a screen, a plurality of television schedule listings comprising a second subset of the stored television schedule information; and displaying the advertisement in a area of the screen that is outside the schedule guide region (FIG.16A; Para 133 lines 20-27; advertisement 528 is outside of a second subset of the stored television schedule information 508).

Schein is silent about modifying, at a user equipment, a portion of the advertisement information to include a first subset of the stored TV schedule information to form a modified advertisement; wherein the first subset of stored television schedule information is different from the second subset of stored television schedule information.

In an analogous art, Hendricks discloses modifying, at a user equipment, a portion of the advertisement information to include a first subset of the stored TV schedule information to form a modified advertisement (Para 23; Para 136 lines 1-13; modify, at a user equipment, a portion of the advertisement information based on viewer's viewing history, a first subset of the stored TV schedule information).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schein's method to include modifying, at a user equipment, a portion of the advertisement information to include a subset of the stored TV schedule information to form a modified advertisement, as taught by Hendricks with the advantage of targeting the specific viewers.

Regarding Claim 2, Schein and Hendricks both disclose method is performed in substantially real time (Schein discloses EPG with advertisement in real time in response to user command while Hendricks discloses switching alternate advertisement while viewer is watching, Para 136 lines 11-13).

Regarding Claims 3, 13-14 and 49-50, Schein further discloses promotional information about a future TV program or product is a video preview (Para 129; Para 133 lines 21-23).

Regarding Claims 4-5 and 40-42, Schein further discloses promotional info about a currently telecast and future TV program, and products and service (Para 129, Para 133 lines 21-25).

Regarding Claims 6 and 43, Schein further discloses storing a program description and combining a portion of the received advertisement with stored program description (FIG.16A).

Regarding Claims 7 and 44, Schein further discloses storing a program telecast time and combining a portion of the received advertisement with stored program telecast time (FIG.16A).

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 45 and 52, Schein further discloses storing a web site address and combining a portion of the received advertisement with stored a web site address and activating a function comprising linking to a web site based on an address related to the selected info and displaying more info from the web sites (Para 139 lines 1-11, Para 144 lines 8-12).

Regarding Claims 9 and 46, Hendricks further discloses storing an info related to a geographical location and may be used to combine a portion of the received advertisement with the info related to a geographical location (Para 208).

Regarding Claims 10 and 47, Schein further discloses storing info related to a TV viewer and combining a portion of the received advertisement with the info related to a TV viewer (Para 128).

Regarding Claims 11-12 and 48, Schein also discloses the 1st database includes info related to rotating ad info in the EPG for combining a portion of ad data with the stored info related to rotating ad info and further display the advertisement info in the EPG based on the rotating advertisement info (FIG.16A, Para 134 lines 4-9).

Regarding Claims 15, 17, 51 and 53, Schein further discloses steps of selecting the displayed info using a pointing device and activating a function related to the selected info and display more detail info related to the selected info and selected advertisement (FIG.1, 40; Para 95; Para 133).

Regarding Claims 18-19 and 54, Schein further discloses step of activating a function comprising scheduling future and currently telecast TV program for recording (FIG.11, 230; FIG.18A; FIG.19A; Para 96).

Regarding Claim 55, Schein further discloses the steps of activating a function comprising tuning to a currently telecast television program (Para 96).

Regarding Claim 56, Schein further discloses promotional information about a future TV program or product is a video preview (Para 129, Para 133 lines 21-23).

Regarding Claims 60-61, Schein further discloses replacing a text or graphical portion of the received advertisement information with the stored television schedule information (FIG.16A; Para 133 lines 20-26; Para 134; advertisements area 524, 528 can be used for programs related promotion).

5. Claims 20-23, 26-27, 29-38 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 2003/0005445) in view of Ellis et al (US 2008/0184315).

Regarding Claims 20 and 58, Schein discloses a method and a computer readable medium having stored thereon a set of instructions for displaying an advertisement, when executed by a microprocessor, cause the microprocessor to perform the step of:

storing advertisement info in a 2nd database (Para 50).

storing television schedule information in a first database (Para 29);

displaying, in a schedule guide region of a screen, a plurality of television schedule listings comprising a second subset of the stored television schedule information; and displaying the advertisement in a area of the screen that is outside the schedule guide region (FIG.16A; Para 133 lines 20-27; advertisement 528 is outside of a second subset of the stored television schedule information 508).

Schein is silent about overlaying, at a user equipment, a first subset of television schedule information from the first database onto a portion of the advertisement information from the second database to form a modified advertisement.

In an analogous art, Ellis discloses overlaying, at a user equipment, a first subset of television schedule information from the first database onto a portion of the advertisement information from the second database to form a modified advertisement (Para 230 lines 17-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schein's system to include overlaying, at a user equipment, a first subset of television schedule information from the first database onto a portion of the advertisement information from the second database to form a modified advertisement, as taught by Ellis so more targeted advertisement can be achieved.

Regarding Claims 21-23, Schein further discloses promotional info about a currently telecast and future TV program, and products and service (Para 129; Para 133 lines 21-25).

Regarding Claims 26 and 34, Schein further discloses storing a web site address and combining a portion of the received advertisement with stored a web site address and activating a function comprising linking to a web site based on an address related to the selected info and displaying more info from the web sites (Para 139 lines 1-11; Para 144 lines 8-12).

Regarding Claim 27, Schein further discloses storing schedule info step comprising storing a geographical location (Para 119 lines 1-11).

Regarding Claims 29 and 30, Schein further discloses the 1st database includes info related to rotating ad info in the EPG for combining a portion of ad data with the stored info related to rotating ad info and further display the advertisement info in the EPG based on the rotating advertisement info (FIG.16A; Para 134 lines 4-9).

Regarding Claims 31 and 32, Schein further discloses promotional information about a future TV program or product is a video preview (Para 129; Para 133 lines 21-23).

Regarding Claim 33, Schein further discloses the steps of selecting the displayed advertisement using a pointing device and activating a function related to the selected advertisement (FIG.1, 40).

Regarding Claim 35, Schein further discloses the steps of activating a function comprising more detail info related to the selected advertisement (Para 127 lines 1-6).

Regarding Claim 36, Schein further discloses the steps of activating a function comprising displaying a video preview related to the selected advertisement (Para133 lines 21-26).

Regarding Claim 37, Schein further discloses step of activating a function comprising scheduling future and currently telecast TV program for recording (Para 96).

Regarding Claim 38, Schein further discloses the steps of activating a function comprising tuning to a currently telecast television program (Para 96).

6. Claims 24-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 2003/0005445) and Ellis et al (US 2008/0184315) as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Coleman et al (US 5,844,620).

Regarding Claim 24, Schein discloses storing a program description but is silent about overlaying the stored program description onto a portion of the stored advertisement information.

In an analogous art, Coleman discloses overlaying the stored program description onto a portion of a programming which could be an advertisement (FIG.6; Col 5 lines 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Schein and Ellis to include overlaying the stored program description onto a portion of the stored advertisement information, as taught by Coleman without interruption of existing programming.

Regarding Claim 25, Coleman further discloses overlaying the stored program telecast time onto a portion of the stored advertisement information (FIG.6, 230, 232, 234).

Regarding Claim 28, Coleman further discloses overlaying the stored info related to a TV viewer onto a portion of the stored advertisement information (Col. 4 lines 13-24; the related viewer information is part of EPG).

7. Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 2003/0005445) and Hendricks et al (US 2004/0111742) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Coleman et al (US 5,844,620).

Regarding Claim 59, Schein and Hendricks are silent about overlaying the stored program description onto the received advertisement information.

In an analogous art, Coleman discloses overlaying the stored program description onto a received programming which could be an advertisement (FIG.6; Col 5 lines 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined system of Schein and Ellis to include overlaying the stored program description onto a received advertisement information, as taught by Coleman without interruption of existing programming.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRED PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,811 Page 10

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

/Vivek Srivastava/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623